

Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI LLP 600 CONGRESS AVE SUITE 2400 AUSTIN TX 78701

JUN 0 7 2006
OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application Cobb, et al.

Application No. 09/686,346 Filed: October 10, 2000

: DECISION ON APPLICATION : FOR PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT

Atty Docket No. UTSD:1276USC1

This is a decision on the "APPLICATION FOR PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.705(b)," filed December 8, 2005. Applicants request that the initial determination of patent term adjustment under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) be increased from the currently accorded zero (0) days.

For the reasons set forth below, a decision on the request for reconsideration of the patent term adjustment indicated on the patent is being **HELD IN ABEYANCE** until after the actual patent date.

Applicants are given two months from the issue date of the patent to request reconsideration of the patent term adjustment indicated on the patent application. Applicants may seek such reconsideration without payment of the fee. A copy of this decision should accompany applicant's written request for reconsideration.

On September 6, 2005, the Office mailed the Determination of Patent Term Adjustment under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) in the above-identified application. The Notice stated that the patent term adjustment (PTA) to date is zero (0) days. On December 8, 2005 Applicants timely submitted an application for patent term adjustment.

Applicants fail to state whether the patent issuing from the application is subject to a terminal disclaimer.

The Office initially determined a patent term adjustment of zero (0) days based on an adjustment of seventy-three (73) days of PTO delay pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(A)(i) and 37 C.F.R. § 1.703(a)(1), and applicants' delays of five (5) and eighty-seven (87) days, all pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(C)(ii) and 37 C.F.R. § 1.704(b). All periods of adjustment have been reviewed and found to be correct.

However, applicants assert additional PTO delay for the failure on the part of the Office to issue the patent within three years of its filing. The Office will be able to assess whether or not any additional PTA is accorded once the issue date is established.<sup>2</sup>

In view thereof, the correct determination of patent term adjustment at the time of the mailing of the Notice of Allowance is zero (0) days (73 days of PTO delay, reduced by 92 (5+87) days of applicant delay).

The \$200 fee set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.18(e) has been charged to Deposit Account No. 50-1212, as authorized. Applicants' request that the fee be waived due to PTO error is denied.<sup>3</sup>

Applicants filed the application for patent term adjustment prior to paying the issue fee.

Applicants should note that if an application is entitled to an adjustment under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B), the entire period of pendency before the Office except for periods excluded under 35 U.S.C. (b)(1)(B)(i)-(iii), and not just the period beginning three years after the actual filing date of the application, is the period of delay under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B) in determining whether periods of delay overlap under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A).

See Comment 1 in Changes to Implement Patent Term Adjustment under Twenty-Year Patent Term; Final Rule, 1239 OG 14, 65 Fed. Reg. 54366 (Oct. 3 2000) (stating that the fee set forth in 37 C.F.R. 1.18(e) is charged to allow the Office to recover the estimated average cost of treating applications for patent term adjustment, and is not refundable even in the event of Office error).

The application file is being forwarded to the Office of Patent Publication for processing into a patent.

Telephone inquiries specific to this matter should be directed to Cliff Congo, Petitions Attorney, at (571)272-3207.

Kery Fries

Key A. Fus

Senior Legal Advisor

Office of Patent Legal Administration

Office of Deputy Commissioner

for Patent Examination Policy